

www.frontieronline.com

Legal Department 180 South Clinton Avenue Rochester, NY 14646-0700

Tel: 585.777.7270 Fax: 585.263.9986

gregg.sayre@frontiercorp.com

## **VIA FCC ELECTRONIC COMMENT FILING SYSTEM**

April 25, 2007

## **Ex Parte Presentation**

Ms. Marlene Dortch Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service; High-Cost Universal Service Support, WC Docket No. 05-337

Developing a Unified Carrier Compensation Regime, CC Docket No. 01-92

Broadband Industry Practices, WC Docket No. 07-52

Dear Ms. Dortch:

In accordance with Section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §1.1206, Citizens Communications Company and its Frontier Communications subsidiaries provide notice of an ex parte meeting on April 24, 2007. The Commission participant was Scott Deutchman, Commissioner Copps' Legal Advisor. Frontier participants were Maggie Wilderotter, Chief Executive Officer, Dan McCarthy, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, and Ann Burr, Senior Vice President and General Manager.

In the meeting the Frontier participants reviewed Frontier's background, profile, current construction of and plans for future WiFi networks, and Frontier's expansion of wireline broadband service through a marketing campaign in 2006 providing free personal computers to 30,000 new broadband customers, 75% of whom previously did not own computers. On the issue of Universal Service, the Frontier participants discussed the importance of stabilizing the USF to allow high-cost support to be predictable, by controlling the growth of Competitive Eligible Telecommunications Carrier distributions, and by establishing broadband requirements for receiving support. On the issue of intercarrier compensation, the Frontier participants suggested incremental steps beginning with addressing phantom traffic, because of the problems it creates in terms of costs, security and safety. Phantom traffic, which makes up 5-7% of Frontier's traffic, should be dealt with promptly by requiring the accurate labeling of traffic with penalties for noncompliance. On the issue of net neutrality the Frontier participants urged a

regulatory hands-off approach and suggested that it might be appropriate for Internet Service Providers to prioritize some traffic, such as public safety, medical imaging and educational institutions' traffic.

Respectfully submitted,

Hys Cfige

Gregg C. Sayre

Associate General Counsel -

Eastern Region

GCS/hmj